UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

ADVANCED AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,))
Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,)) Civil Action No. 4:12-cv-226-RWS
V.)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
THE BOEING COMPANY,)
and)
INSITU, INC.,)
Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs.)) _)

PLAINTIFF'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANTS' COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff Advanced Aerospace Technologies, Inc. ("AATI") for its Answer to Defendants' Counterclaims state as follows:

1. AATI admits that Defendants filed a counterclaim for a declaratory judgment.

Parties and Jurisdiction

- 2. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 2 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 3. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 3 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 4. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 4 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 5. Paragraph 5 of Defendants' Counterclaims states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 5 of Defendants' Counterclaims.

- 6. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 6 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 7. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 7 of Defendants' Counterclaims.

Facts

- 8. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 8 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 9. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 9 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 10. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 10 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 11. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 11 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 12. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 12 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 13. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 13 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 14. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 14 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 15. AATI admits the allegations of paragraph 15 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
- 16. Paragraph 16 of Defendants' Counterclaims states legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 16 of Defendants' Counterclaims.

Count One—Non-Infringement of '729 Patent

- 17. AATI incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 16 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
 - 18. AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 18 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
 - 19. AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 19 of Defendants' Counterclaims.

Count Two—Invalidity of the '729 Patent

- 20. AATI incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 19 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
 - 21. AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 21 of Defendants' Counterclaims.

22. AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 22 of Defendants' Counterclaims.

Count Three—Non-Infringement of the '137 Patent

- 23. AATI incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 22 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
 - 24. AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 24 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
 - 25. AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 25 of Defendants' Counterclaims.

Count Four—Invalidity of the '137 Patent

- 26. AATI incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 25 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
 - 27. AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 27 of Defendants' Counterclaims.
 - 28. AATI denies the allegations of paragraph 28 of Defendants' Counterclaims.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

AATI denies that it is liable for any of the relief requested in Defendants' Prayer for Relief.

Date: April 11, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

ARENT FOX, LLP

/s/ Lindsay S.C. Brinton

Lindsay S.C. Brinton (Bar No. 58595MO)

Clayton, MO 63105

Phone: (314) 721-0219

Fax: (202) 357-6395

brinton.lindsay@arentfox.com

Craig S. King

Anthony W. Shaw

Richard J. Webber

Kevin R. Pinkney

Taniel E. Anderson

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20036

Phone (202) 857-6227 Fax: (202) 357-6395 king.craig.@arentfox.com shaw.anthony@arentfox.com webber.richard@arentfox.com pinkney.kevin@arentfox.com anderson.taniel@arentfox.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 11th day of April 2012, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was filed electronically with the Clerk of Court to be served by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to the following:

B. Scott Eidson
David W. Harlan
John H. Quinn, III
Armstrong Teasdale, LLP
7700 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 1800
St. Louis, Missouri 63105
seidson@armstrongteasdale.com
dharlan@armstrongteasdale.com
jquinn@armstrongteasdale.com
Attorneys for Insitu, Inc.
Attorneys for The Boeing Company

David C. Marcus
Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale, & Dorr, LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2100
Los Angeles, California 90071
david.marcus@wilmerhale.com
Attorneys for Insitu, Inc.
Attorneys for The Boeing Company

Nina S. Tallon Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale, & Dorr, LLP 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20006 nina.tallon@wilmerhale.com Attorneys for Insitu, Inc. Attorneys for The Boeing Company Joseph F. Haag Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale, & Dorr, LLP 950 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 joseph.haag@wilmerhale.com Attorneys for Insitu, Inc. Attorneys for The Boeing Company

Jonathan W. Andron
Sean K. Thompson
Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale, & Dorr, LLP
60 State Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02109
jonathan.andron@wilmerhale.com
sean.thompson@wilmerhale.com
Attorneys for Insitu, Inc.
Attorneys for The Boeing Company

/s/ Lindsay S.C. Brinton